

# A Strong Hot Spot Theorem

David H. Bailey and Daniel J. Rudolph

2 May 2003

In [3] Bailey and Richard Crandall established normality base  $b$  for the class of constants

$$\alpha_{b,c} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^k b^{c^k}}, \quad (1)$$

where the integer  $b > 1$  and  $c$  is odd and co-prime to  $b$ , as well as some generalizations of this class. The proof given in [3] is rather difficult and relies on several not-well-known results, including one by Korobov on the properties of certain pseudo-random sequences. Recently it has been shown that normality can be established much more easily, as a consequence of what may be called the “hot spot” theorem [1]. Here we state and prove a strong form of the “hot spot” theorem. A weaker result is given in [5, pg. 77], and is proven by an ergodic theory argument in [2].

In the following,  $\{\cdot\}$  denotes fractional part as before, and  $\#[\cdot]$  denotes count.  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  denote probability measures on  $U$  (the unit interval mod 1).  $A - B$  denotes the set of  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$ , and  $A \Delta B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ . The notation a.e.  $x[\mu]$  means for all  $x \in U$  except for a set  $Q$  with  $\mu(Q) = 0$ . A *Vitali covering* of a measurable set  $A \subset U$  is a collection of open intervals with the property that every  $x \in A$  is contained in infinitely many, arbitrarily small intervals in the collection. The measure  $\nu$  is *absolutely continuous* with respect to  $\mu$  if  $\nu(A) = 0$  whenever  $\mu(A) = 0$ . The map  $T : U \rightarrow U$  is said to be *measure-preserving* with respect to  $\mu$  if  $\mu(T^{-1}A) = \mu(A)$  for every  $\mu$ -measurable set  $A$ , and *ergodic* with respect to  $\mu$  if  $T^{-1}A = A$  implies  $\mu(A) = 0$  or 1.

Given a real constant  $\alpha$  in  $[0, 1)$ , we define here a *base- $b$  hot spot* to be some  $x \in [0, 1)$  with the property that

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#_{0 \leq j < n} [\{b^j \alpha\} \in (x - h, x + h)]}{2hn} = \infty. \quad (2)$$

Another way to state this condition is this:  $x$  is a base- $b$  hot spot if given any  $M > 0$ , there is some  $\delta_M > 0$  such that for all  $h < \delta_M$  there is some  $N_h > 0$  such that for all  $n > N_h$ , the condition  $\#_{0 \leq j < n} [\{b^j \alpha\} \in (x - h, x + h)] > 2hnM$  holds.

What we shall establish below is that  $\alpha$  is  $b$ -normal if and only if it has no base- $b$  hot spots. We first present a few preliminary results.

**Lemma 1 Vitali covering lemma.** *If a  $\mu$ -measurable set  $A \subset U$  has a Vitali covering, then given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is some finite disjoint subcollection  $A'$  with the property that  $\mu(A \Delta A') < \epsilon$ .*

This result is proven in [6].

**Lemma 2 Birkoff ergodic theorem.** *Let  $f(t)$  be an integrable function on  $[0, 1)$ , and let  $T$  be an ergodic transformation for  $\mu$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x) = \int f d\mu \quad \text{for a.e. } x[\mu], \quad (3)$$

This result is proved in [4, pg. 13, 20-29].

**Lemma 3 Equivalence of absolutely continuous measures.** *Suppose that  $T$  is measure-preserving and ergodic with respect to both  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , and further that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Then  $\mu = \nu$ .*

**Proof.** Applying the ergodic theorem to  $f(t) = I_A(t)$  (the indicator function of  $A$ ),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x) = \int f(t) d\mu(t) = \mu(A) \quad \text{for a.e. } x[\mu]. \quad (4)$$

Since  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , the above holds a.e.  $x[\nu]$  as well. Now since  $T$  preserves the measure  $\nu$ , we can write, for  $n > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(A) &= \int f(t) d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int f(T^i x) d\nu(x) \\ &= \int \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) d\nu(x) \rightarrow \int \mu(A) d\nu = \mu(A), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. QED

**Lemma 4 Absolute continuity of measures with finite derivatives.** *Suppose  $\nu$  is a measure on  $U$  with the property that for a.e.  $x[\nu]$ ,*

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu(x - h, x + h)}{2h} < \infty \quad (6)$$

*Then  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with Lebesgue measure.*

**Proof.** Here  $\mu$  denotes Lebesgue measure on  $U$ , and  $\nu$  denotes any measure as defined in the hypothesis. Let  $A$  be any set with  $\mu(A) = 0$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists a set  $Q$  with  $\nu(Q) < \epsilon$  and  $M \geq 1$  such that the LHS of (6), as a function of  $x$ , is bounded by  $M$  except on  $Q$ . Further, there exists some open set  $A' \supset A$  with  $\mu(A') < \epsilon/M$ . Then for every  $x \in (A' - Q)$  there exists an infinite sequence  $h_k$ , strictly decreasing to zero, such that  $(x - h_1, x + h_1) \subset A'$  and  $\nu(x - h_k, x + h_k)/(2h_k) \leq M + \epsilon$  for  $k \geq 1$ . For  $x \in (A' \cap Q)$ , define  $h_k = 2^{-m-k}$ , where  $m$  is large enough that  $(x - h_1, x + h_1) \subset A'$ . Note that in either case all of these intervals are contained within  $A'$ . The collection of

these intervals is a Vitali covering of the set  $A'$ , so by the Vitali covering lemma there is a finite disjoint subcollection  $A'' \subset A'$  with  $\nu(A' - A'') < \epsilon$ . We can then write

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(A) &\leq \nu(A') = \nu(A'') + \nu(A' - A'') \\
&= \nu(A'' - Q) + \nu(A'' \cap Q) + \nu(A' - A'') \\
&\leq (M + \epsilon)\mu(A'' - Q) + 2\epsilon \leq (M + \epsilon)\mu(A') + 2\epsilon \\
&\leq (M + \epsilon)\epsilon/M + 2\epsilon < 4\epsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

which implies that  $\nu(A) = 0$ . QED

In the following,  $\mu$  will denote Lebesgue measure on  $U$ , and, given a real constant  $\alpha \in U$  and an integer  $b \geq 2$ ,  $\nu$  will denote the measure defined on an interval  $(c, d)$  as

$$\nu(c, d) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#_{0 \leq j < n} [\{b^j \alpha\} \in (c, d)]}{n} \tag{8}$$

**Lemma 5 Ergodicity of the digit-shift transformation.** *The digit-shift transformation  $T(x) = \{bx\}$  is measure-preserving and ergodic with respect to both  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .*

**Proof.**  $T$  clearly preserves Lebesgue measure. Assume for convenience that  $b = 2$ , and suppose that  $A = T^{-1}(A)$ . Then note that  $x \in A$  if and only if  $\{x + 1/2\} \in A$ . Thus if  $D = (0, 1/2)$ , then  $\mu(A \cap D) = \mu(A)/2 = \mu(A)\mu(D)$ . A similar equality follows for any binary rational interval  $(j2^m, k2^m)$ , and thus for any finite disjoint union of such intervals. This collection of binary rational intervals is a Vitali covering of  $A$ . Thus given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is some finite disjoint union  $E$  with  $\mu(A \Delta E) < \epsilon$  and  $\mu(A \cap E) = \mu(A)\mu(E)$ . We can then write

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu(A) - \mu^2(A)| &< |\mu(A) - \mu(A)\mu(E)| + \epsilon = |\mu(A) - \mu(A \cap E)| + \epsilon \\
&= |\mu(A) - (\mu(A) - \mu(A - E))| + \epsilon \leq 2\epsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Thus  $\mu(A) = \mu^2(A)$ , so that  $\mu(A) = 0$  or  $1$  as required. A similar argument applies to the measure  $\nu$  as defined above. In the parlance of ergodic theory,  $T$  is “mixing” with respect to both  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , which condition is well-known to imply ergodicity [4, pg. 12]. QED

**Theorem 1 Hot spot theorem.** *The real constant  $\alpha$  is  $b$ -normal if and only if it has no base- $b$  hot spots.*

**Proof.** If  $\alpha$  has no base- $b$  hot spots, then it follows immediately from Lemmas 3, 4, and 5, that for any interval  $(c, d) \subset U$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#_{0 \leq j < n} [\{b^j \alpha\} \in (c, d)]}{n} = \mu(c, d) = d - c \tag{10}$$

This result also applies to  $(0, c) \cup (d, 1)$ , which except for  $c, d$  and the point 0 is the complement of  $(c, d)$ . We can then write

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#_{0 \leq j < n}[\{b^j \alpha\} \in (c, d)]}{n} &= 1 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#_{0 \leq j < n}[\{b^j \alpha\} \in (0, c) \cup (d, 1)]}{n} \\ &= 1 - (c + (1 - d)) = d - c \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Thus the liminf and the limsup are identical. Since this holds for any interval  $(c, d)$ , it holds in particular for any interval whose endpoints are of the form  $j/b^m$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is  $b$ -normal. QED

## References

- [1] David H. Bailey, “A Hot-Spot Proof of Normality for the Alpha Constants,” manuscript, 2003, available at the URL <http://www.nersc.gov/~dhbailey/dhbpapers/alpha-normal.pdf>
- [2] David H. Bailey and Daniel J. Rudolph, “An Ergodic Proof that Rational Times Normal is Normal,” manuscript, 2002, available at the URL <http://www.nersc.gov/~dhbailey/dhbpapers/ratxnormal.pdf>
- [3] David H. Bailey and Richard E. Crandall, “Random Generators and Normal Numbers,” *Experimental Mathematics*, vol. 11 (2002), pg. 527–546.
- [4] Patrick Billingsley, *Ergodic Theory and Information*, John Wiley, New York, 1965.
- [5] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [6] Halsey L. Royden, *Measure Theory*, Addison-Wesley, 1968.